Stereoselective Synthesis of the Lituarine Tricyclic Spiroacetal

Jeremy Robertson,* Paul Meo, Jonathan W. P. Dallimore, Bryan M. Doyle, and Christophe Hoarau^{\dagger}

Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford, Chemistry Research Laboratory, Mansfield Road, Oxford, OX1 3TA, UK

jeremy.robertson@chem.ox.ac.uk

Received August 12, 2004



Oxidative cyclizations of 2-(4-hydroxybutyl)furan derivatives provide spirobutenolide acetals directly; on the basis of this methodology, we describe an asymmetric synthesis of a tricyclic spirobutenolide precursor to the C(7–18) fragment common to lituarines A–C.

In the accompanying paper,¹ we introduced our strategy for the synthesis of lituarines A–C (**1–3**, Scheme 1), a small class of cytotoxic, antifungal marine natural products isolated from *Lituaria australasiae*,² and described a route to the C(1–6) and C(19–24) fragments of the natural products. Prior to this report, Smith's highly efficient synthesis of the C(7–19) tricyclic spiroacetal domain, starting from antipodal glycidyl ethers, stood as the only published synthetic work in this area.³

In this Letter, we describe a conceptually very different route to the lituarine C(7-18) spiroacetal, in which the absolute stereochemistry originates in an oxazaborolidinemediated asymmetric reduction of 2-methylcyclopentenone. In our original analysis of the synthesis,⁴ we were concerned that the C(16) stereogenic center would be prone to epimerization under the equilibrating acidic conditions typically employed for spiroacetal formation by ketodiol condensation, and this classical approach was rejected. Instead, we realized that a variant of the peracid oxidation of 2,5-disubstituted furans to give enediones⁵ could be used as the key step in a direct synthesis of spirobutenolide **6** (Scheme 2) from which the C(16) methyl group could be introduced under kinetic



[†] Current address: IRCOF-INSA Laboratoire de Chimie Organique Fine et Hétérocyclique, UMR 6014, B. P. 08, 76131 Mont St. Aignan Cedex, France.

⁽¹⁾ Robertson, J.; Dallimore, J. W. P.; Meo, P. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3857–3860.

⁽²⁾ Vidal, J.-P.; Escale, R.; Girard, J.-P.; Rossi, J.-C.; Chantraine, J.-M.; Aumelas, A. J. Org. Chem. **1992**, *57*, 5857–5860.

^{(3) (}a) Smith, A. B., III.; Frohn, M. Org. Lett. **2001**, *3*, 3979–3982. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Frohn, M. Org. Lett. **2002**, *4*, 4183 (correction).

⁽⁴⁾ Yanase, M. Part II Thesis, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, 2001.





conditions by conjugate addition. This proposal⁶ required stereocontrolled access to functionalized 2-(4-hydroxybutyl)-furan precursors of general structure **5**; before embarking on that synthesis, a model study was undertaken in order to establish the viability of the methodology.

Treatment of 2-(4-hydroxybutyl)furan⁷ with MCPBA in dichloromethane for 2 h at 0 °C provided spirolactol **7** (82%), which was oxidized without complication to give multigram quantities of the spirobutenolide **8**⁸ (75%). Butenolide **8** could be obtained directly from the furan in comparable overall yield (65%) using 2.0 equiv of MCPBA at 20 °C. Pleasingly, subsequent 1,4-addition⁹ of (MeS)₃CLi afforded the conjugate adduct as essentially one diastereomer.¹⁰ Raney nickel desulfurization of this adduct gave spirolactone **9**, the stereochemistry (dr = 19:1) being established by NOE experiments (Scheme 3).¹¹ We also briefly investigated



Yamamoto's system¹² for delivery of methyl in a conjugate sense; under the recommended conditions (Me₂CuLi•TMSCl, CH₂Cl₂, $0 \rightarrow 20$ °C), 1,4-addition proceeded cleanly, but the adduct was obtained as a roughly equimolar mixture of diastereomers.



Following this success, the synthesis of the more complex oxidation precursor **5** ($\mathbf{R} = CH_2CH_2OTBDPS$) was undertaken, beginning with asymmetric reduction¹³ of 2-methylcyclopentenone (Scheme 4). Use of BH₃•THF in this reduction¹⁴ provided an 85:15 mixture of inseparable alcohols **10** and **11** in mediocre yield and with only a moderate ee (82%)-.¹⁵ In contrast, application of Corey's modification,¹⁶ using catecholborane at low temperature, resulted in an improved ee (92%)¹⁵ and avoided competing over-reduction.¹⁷ Later work showed that the most reproducible results (90% yield, 94% ee) could be obtained using a stoichiometric quantity of (*S*)-2-methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine•BH₃ complex.¹⁸

The crude alcohol (10) was immediately protected and the alkene cleaved to provide keto aldehyde 12. Interestingly, the intermediate ozonide¹⁹ precursor to keto aldehyde 12 proved to be relatively stable in the presence of a large excess of dimethyl sulfide and was isolated after silica gel chromatography. Fortunately, triphenylphosphine effected complete reduction of this ozonide at -78 °C. Selective Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefination of aldehyde 12 proceeded in good yield at low temperature²⁰ with high (*E*)-stereoselectivity and with no significant loss of stereochemical

- (8) Fukuda, H.; Takeda, M.; Sato, Y.; Mitsunobu, O. Synthesis 1979, 368-370.
- (9) Damon, R. E.; Schlessinger, R. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 1561–1564.
- (10) Cf.: Reed, A. D.; Hegedus, L. S. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 3787–3794.

(11) This was confirmed by X-ray crystallography, details of which will be provided in a full description of this work.

(12) Asao, N.; Lee, S.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4265–4266.

(13) (a) Wallbaum, S.; Martens, J. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1992**, *3*, 1475–1504. (b) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, *37* (7), 1986–2012.

(14) Corey, E. J.; Gavai, A. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 3201–3204.
 (15) Enantiomeric excess was determined by Mosher's ester derivatiza-

 (16) Enantiometric excess was determined by Mosner's ester derivatization.
 (16) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 611–614.

(16) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 611–614. (17) On the basis of the 1 H NMR spectrum of the crude material.

(18) Simpson, A. F.; Szeto, P.; Lathbury, D. C.; Gallagher, T. Tetrahe-

dron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 673-676.

(19) Bunnelle, W. H.; Isbell, T. A. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 729–740.
(20) Hammond, G. B.; Cox M. B.; Wiemer D. F. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 128–132.

^{(5) (}a) First report: Clauson-Kaas, N.; Fakstorp, J. Acta Chem. Scand. **1947**, *1*, 415–421. (b) For an early synthetic application: Williams, P. D.; LeGoff, E. J. Org. Chem. **1981**, *46*, 4143–4147.

⁽⁶⁾ Recently, Nelson reported such a process during a study of the Sharpless kinetic resolution of difuryl diols: (a) Harding, M.; Hodgson, R.; Nelson, A. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2002**, 2403–2413. (b) Bartlett, S.; Hodgson, R.; Holland, J. M.; Jones, M.; Kilner, C.; Nelson, A.; Warriner, S. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, *1*, 2393–2402.

⁽⁷⁾ Sun, M.; Deng, Y.; Batyreva, E.; Sha, W.; Salomon, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3575–3584.

integrity at C(11), as inferred by Mosher's ester analysis at a later stage (compound **18**).

Two key aspects of stereocontrol then had to be faced: (1) the level of stereochemical induction during addition of a furan-containing organometallic to the α -(silyloxy)ketone and (2) the level and sense of stereoselectivity during conjugate cyclization to form the tetrahydropyran ring.²¹ A screen of unfunctionalized organometallic reagents soon showed Grignard reagents to be preferential; addition of 2-(2-furyl)ethylmagnesium bromide (14)²² to ketone 13 proceeded in moderate yield (\rightarrow 16) and with good (10:1) stereocontrol in accord with a nonchelated Felkin–Anh approach (15). This Grignard reaction was particularly sensitive to oxidation, careful degassing of the solvents²³ being necessary in order to obtain consistent results.

Anticipating the stereochemical outcome of the tetrahydropyran ring closure, we expected the desired product (17) to be the more stable of the two diastereomers, but predicting the outcome under kinetic conditions was difficult given the absence of a close literature precedent.²⁴ Therefore, a small range of bases was screened in this reaction (full details will be reported elsewhere) and it was found that the diastereoselectivity was influenced by the reaction temperature as well as the nature of the metal counterion; with LHMDS as the base, and maintaining the reaction temperature below -40°C, the desired diastereomer 17 was obtained exclusively, albeit with some recovery of starting material (Scheme 5). In the ¹H NMR spectrum of this compound, both CHOR resonances exhibited a coupling constant of a magnitude consistent with a diaxial relationship between the vicinal protons in support of the desired relative stereochemistry.

To prevent competitive addition of the nucleophilic methyl equivalent later in the synthesis, and to set up a double-deprotection/double-elimination sequence prior to eventual ring-closing metathesis,¹ the ester in **17** was reduced and the primary hydroxyl protected (\rightarrow **19**). The furan oxidation



method worked particularly well in this case, and spirobutenolide **20** was obtained as a single diastereomer in 77% overall yield.

Investigations of the conjugate addition chemistry of spirobutenolide **20** and its elaboration toward the lituarines are currently underway.

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC (Grants GR/R25842/01, GR/P01397/01) and the University of Oxford for funding. We are grateful to Ms. Midori Yanase⁴ for preliminary investigations.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectroscopic characterization are provided for compounds **8**, **9**, **12**, **13**, and **16–20**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL0483955

⁽²¹⁾ For a discussion of the origins of stereocontrol in related cyclizations, see: (a) Betancort, J. M.; Martín, V. S.; Padrón, J. M.; Palazón, J. M.; Ramírez, M. A.; Soler, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4570–4583. (b) Ramírez, M. A.; Padrón J. M.; Palazón, J. M.; Martín, V. S. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 4584–4590.

⁽²²⁾ Grignard reagent was generated from 2-(2-bromoethyl)furan, prepared in a three-step sequence from furan in 87% overall yield: (a) BuLi, ethylene oxide, THF; (b) MsCl, Et₃N, Et₂O; (c) LiBr, THF; see: Jung, M. E.; Miller, S. J. *Heterocycles* **1990**, *30*, 839–853.

⁽²³⁾ Cf.: Sperry, J. B.; Whitehead, C. R.; Ghiviriga, I.; Walczak, R. M.; Wright, D. L. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 69, 3726–3734.

⁽²⁴⁾ For a related example of cyclization of a 3°-alkoxide, see: Nicolaou, K. C.; Hwang, C.-K.; Duggan, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 6682–6690.